

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/765,833	HIGASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ben P. Sandvik	2826	

All Participants:

(1) Ben P. Sandvik.

(2) Eckhard Kuesters (Reg. No. 28,870).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 7

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted attorney in order to obtain authorization for an examiner's amendment to correct a minor informality and to add a limitation to further clarify the claim. Authorization was obtained.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)